Formation of Planetary Systems Lecture 3 - Dust dynamics & planetesimal formation

Course Outline

- 5 Lectures, 2 hours each (with a break in the middle!).
 - I) Observations of planetary systems
 - 2) Protoplanetary discs
 - 3) Dust dynamics & planetesimal formation
 - 4) Planet formation
 - 5) Planetary dynamics
- Notes for each lecture will be placed on the course home page in advance - you may find it useful to annotate these as we go.
- These slides will also be posted online.
- Textbooks: Armitage Astrophysics of planet formation (CUP).
 Protostars & Planets series (VI 2014; VII 2023)

Course home-page: rdalexander.github.io/planets_2023.html

Planetesimal hypothesis

Safronov (1969): planets form from dust and ice grains that stick together to form ever larger bodies. **EVOLUTION OF THE PROTOPLANETARY CLOUD AND FORMATION OF THE EARTH AND THE PLANETS**

V. S. Safronov

CASE FILE COPY

TRANSLATED FROM RUSSIAN

Published for the National Aeronautics and Space Administration and the National Science Foundation, Washington, D.C. by the Israel Program for Scientific Translations

Planetesimal hypothesis

Safronov (1969): planets form from dust and ice grains that stick together to form ever larger bodies.

- We now think of a "three-stage" model for planet formation:
 - I) dust (~um) \rightarrow planetesimals (~km)

sticking due to contact forces during collisions.

- 3) planetesimals (~km) → proto-planets / cores (~1000km) gravity (between solids).
- 5) proto-planets / cores \rightarrow planets

gravity (gas accretion) and giant impacts.

Solid Particles

Dust/rocks: small bodies, from sub-µm up to ~km size. Motion dominated by <u>aerodynamic</u> <u>drag</u>.

Planetesimals: ~10-1000km bodies. Interact with one another gravitationally – <u>N-body</u> <u>dynamics</u>. (Lecture 4)

Planetary cores: >1000km in size, approaching Earth mass. Interact gravitationally with the gas, leading to radial <u>migration</u> and <u>gas accretion</u>. (Lectures 4 & 5)

Dust settling is now directly observed



Colours are scattered light; contours are ALMA 850µm (Villenave et al. 2020)

Drift velocity in flaring disc at 1AU



Drift velocity in flaring disc at 1AU



Ts

Radial drift can create "dust traps"



- Armitage (2007)
- In general, radial drift moves particles towards pressure <u>maxima</u>.
- Can "trap" particles in local disc structures.

Radial drift can create "dust traps"





Rice et al. (2004, 2006)

- In general, radial drift moves particles towards pressure <u>maxima</u>.
- Can "trap" particles in local disc structures.

Dust trapping measured with ALMA





y [au]

Dullemond et al. (2018)

Dust trapping measured with ALMA



In several cases the observed dust rings have:

 $\Delta R_{\rm d} < H_{\rm g}$

Dust structures narrower than gas structures – this requires <u>trapping</u>.

Dullemond et al. (2018)





Animations of numerical simulations by Paszun & Dominik (2008). Individual "particles" are spherical SiO₂ monomers of radius 0.6µm.

Laboratory experiments



R Blum J, Wurm G. 2008. Annu. Rev. Astron. Astrophys. 46:21–56

Laboratory experiments



Teiser & Wurm (2009)





Vertical settling (& radial drift) leads to ...



...enhanced dust-to-gas ratio at disc midplane, causing...



...gravitational instability in the dust layer.

• Gravitational instability in the dust layer requires:

$$Q_{\rm dust} = \frac{\sigma\Omega}{\pi G \Sigma_{\rm dust}} = 1$$

- This implies a very thin dust layer, with $\sigma \sim 10$ cm/s.
- Turbulence in real discs prevents the dust layer from ever becoming this thin. (In fact, the dust layer becomes Kelvin-Helmholz unstable & drives turbulence!)
- However, the idea is attractive because it allows km-size planetesimals to form rapidly from small dust grains, bypassing the problematic m-size regime.

Turbulent planetesimal formation

- Disc *turbulence* has both positive and negative effects:
 - trapping of particles in long-lived pressure maxima, increasing collision rates.
 - high particle collision speeds, leading to more shattering/fragmentation during collisions.

- As in the G-W mechanism, for sufficiently large particle concentrations <u>collective effects</u> become important.
 - differential dust-gas motion gives rise to a number of different instabilities.

Streaming instability



Figure courtesy of Anders Johansen

- Enhancements in the local dust-to-gas ratio can drive a number of different instabilities, which drive both turbulence in the gas and clumping in the solids.
- Most well-known is the streaming instability, discovered by Youdin & Goodman (2005).

Streaming instability



Figure courtesy of Anders Johansen

- Solids lose angular momentum due to headwind, but headwind reduced when particles "clump".
- Leads to further clumping \rightarrow instability.
- Streaming instability most effective for particles with $T_s = I$. Still requires rapid growth up to ~cm to ~m



- Solids lose angular momentum due to headwind, but headwind reduced when particles "clump".
- Leads to further clumping \rightarrow instability.
- Streaming instability most effective for particles with $T_s = I$. Still requires rapid growth up to ~cm to ~m

Planetesimal formation in turbulent discs



Johansen & Youdin (2007)

Planetesimal formation in turbulent discs



Pebble accretion



Lambrechts & Johansen (2012)